

List of references

1. Vitória Zanotto Farina is an undergraduate student at the Federal University of the Rio Grande do Sul. She is an intern in Rossi de Lima e Lenz Advogados in Porto Alegre, Brazil.
2. MISTELIS, Loukas. CISG and Arbitration. In: JANSSEN, André; MEYER, Olaf. CISG methodology. Munich: Sellier, 2009. p. 388.
3. CRETILLA NETO, José. Contratos Internacionais do Comércio. São Paulo: Letz Total Media Creative Projects, 2016. p. 246.
4. DERAINS, Yves. The ICC Arbitral Process. Part. VIII. Choice of the Law Applicable to the Contract and International Arbitration. ICC International Court of Arbitration Bulletin, v. 6, n. 1, §2, 1995.
5. FOUCHARD, Philippe; GOLDMAN, Berthold. Fouchard, Gaillard, Goldman on international commercial arbitration. The Netherlands: Kluwer Law International BV, 1999. §1420.
6. LEW, Julian; MISTELIS, Loukas; KRÖLL, Stefan. Comparative International Commercial Arbitration. The Hague: Kluwer Law International, 2003. §21-6.
7. MOSES, Margaret, L. The principles and practice of international commercial arbitration. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2017. p. 67.
8. NACIMIENTO, Patricia. Article V(1)(d). In: KRONKE, Herbert; NACIMIENTO, Patricia et al. (eds), Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards: A Global Commentary on the New York Convention. The Netherlands: Kluwer Law International, 2010. p. 283.
9. CORDERO-MOSS, Giuditta. Limits to Party Autonomy in International Commercial Arbitration. Available online at:
https://www.idunn.no/oslo_law_review/2014/01/limits_to_party_autonomy_in_international_commercial_arbitr.
10. UNITED NATIONS COMMISSION ON INTERNATIONAL TRADE LAW. Status: UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (1985), with amendments as adopted in 2006. Available at:
https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts/arbitration/modellaw/commercial_arbitration/status. Last access: 07/05/2020.
11. UNCITRAL Model Law. Non-official translation by Flavia Foz Mange, Gustavo Santos Kulesza, Rafael Bittencourt Silva, Rafael Vicente Soares. p. 38. Available at:
http://www.cbar.org.br/leis_intern_arquivos/Lei_Modelo_Uncitral_traduzida_e_revisada_versao_final.pdf. Last access: 07/05/2020.
12. DE FRADERA, Vera Jacob. The relationship between Constitution, International Treaties and Contracs. Revista da Faculdade de Direito da UFRGS, n. 22, 2002. p. 80.
13. BLESSING, Marc. Mandatory Rules of Law versus Party Autonomy in International Arbitration. Journal of International Arbitration. The Hague: Kluwer Law International, 1997. Volume 14 Issue 4. p. 23.
14. BLESSING, Marc. Mandatory Rules of Law versus Party Autonomy in International Arbitration. Journal of International Arbitration. The Hague: Kluwer Law International, 1997. Volume 14 Issue 4. p. 40.
15. WAINCYMER, JM. The CISG and International Commercial Arbitration: Promoting a Complimentary Relationship Between Substance and Procedure. In: ANDERSEN, Camilla B; SCHROETER, Ulrich G. (eds.). Sharing International Commercial Law across National Boundaries. London UK: Wildy, Simmonds and Hill, 2008. p. 596.

16. HONNOLD, John. Uniform law for international sales under the 1980 United Nations Convention. The Hague: Kluwer Law International, 1999. p. 29.
17. UNITED NATIONS COMMISSION ON INTERNATIONAL TRADE LAW. UNCITRAL Digest of Case Law on the United Nations Convention on the International Sale of Goods. United Nations Publications, 2008. p. 04.
18. UNITED NATIONS COMMISSION ON INTERNATIONAL TRADE LAW. UNCITRAL Digest of Case Law on the United Nations Convention on the International Sale of Goods. United Nations Publications, 2008. p. 04.
19. FERRARI, Franco. Uniform Law of International Sales: Issues of Applicability and Private International Law. *Journal of Law and Commerce*, v. 15, p 168, 1995.
20. PETROCHILOS, Georgios. Arbitration Conflict of Laws Rules and the 1980 International Sales Convention. *Revue Hellenique de Droit International*, v. 52, p. 192, 1999.
21. PETROCHILOS, Georgios. Arbitration Conflict of Laws Rules and the 1980 International Sales Convention. *Revue Hellenique de Droit International*, v. 52, p. 192, 1999.
22. SCHWENZER, Ingeborg; SCHLECHTRIEM, Peter. Commentary on the UN Convention on the International Sale of Goods (CISG). New York: Oxford University Press Inc., 2010, p. 19.
23. UNITED NATIONS COMMISSION ON INTERNATIONAL TRADE LAW. UNCITRAL Digest of Case Law on the United Nations Convention on the International Sale of Goods. United Nations Publications, 2008. p. 04.
24. SCHWENZER, Ingeborg; SCHLECHTRIEM, Peter. Commentary on the UN Convention on the International Sale of Goods (CISG). New York: Oxford University Press Inc., 2010, p. 20.
25. SCHWENZER, Ingeborg; SCHLECHTRIEM, Peter. Commentary on the UN Convention on the International Sale of Goods (CISG). New York: Oxford University Press Inc., 2010, p. 19.
26. MAGNUS, Ulrich. Tracing Methodology in the CISG: Dogmatic Foundations. In: JANSSEN, André; MEYER, Olaf. *CISG Methodology*. Munich: Sellier, 2009, p. 46.
27. JANSSEN, A.; SPIJKER, M. The CISG and International Arbitration. In L. DiMatteo (Ed.). *International Sales Law: A Global Challenge*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014, p. 136. Similarly: PETROCHILOS, Georgios Arbitration Conflict of Laws Rules and the 1980 International Sales Convention. *Revue Hellenique de Droit International*, v. 52, p. 193, 1999.
28. WOLFF, L. C. *The Law of Cross-Border Business Transactions: Principles, Concepts, Skills*. The Netherlands: Kluwer Law International, 2013, p. 33.
29. MOURRE, A. Application of the Vienna international sales convention in arbitration, *ICC International Court of Arbitration Bulletin*, v. 17, n. 1, §1^o, 2006. PETROCHILOS, Georgios Arbitration Conflict of Laws Rules and the 1980 International Sales Convention. *Revue Hellenique de Droit International*, v. 52, p. 199, 1999.
30. SCHWENZER, I.; JAEGER, F. Chapter 30: The CISG in International Arbitration. In P. Shaughnessy and S. Tung (eds.). *The Powers and Duties of an Arbitrator: Liber Amicorum Pierre A Karrer*. The Netherlands: Kluwer Law International, 2017, p. 313.
31. JANSSEN, A.; SPIJKER, M. The CISG and International Arbitration. In L. DiMatteo (Ed.). *International Sales Law: A Global Challenge*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014, p. 136.
32. HUBER, P. *The CISG. A new textbook for students and practitioners*. Munich: Sellier, 2007. p. 67.
33. HUBER, P. *The CISG. A new textbook for students and practitioners*. Munich: Sellier, 2007. p. 68.
34. PETROCHILOS, Georgios Arbitration Conflict of Laws Rules and the 1980 International Sales Convention. *Revue Hellenique de Droit International*, v. 52, p. 199, 1999.
35. Advisory Council Opinion is that the CISG does not impose obligations to arbitrators to apply the Convention; therefore, arbitrators are not, in principle, obliged to apply the Convention ex officio, if the parties have not provided for in this sense. CISG-AC Opinion No. 16, Exclusion of the CISG under Article 6, Rapporteur: Doctor Lisa Spagnolo. Monash University. Australia, 2014, §5.7. Disponível em: <http://www.cisg.law.pace.edu/cisg/CISG-AC-op16.html>. Acesso em: 27/03/2020.
36. MOURRE, A. Application of the Vienna international sales convention in arbitration, *ICC International Court of Arbitration Bulletin*, v. 17, n. 1, §1^o, 2006.

37. BORN, Gary. International commercial arbitration. The Hague: Kluwer Law International, 2009. pp. 2628-2629.
38. Schwenzer and Schlechtriem mentions Article 1(1)(b) clearly does not oblige arbitral tribunals; however, they refer the latter may have to apply the Convention as foreign law, if the conflict of laws provisions lead to the applicability of the law of a Contracting State. SCHWENZER, Ingeborg; SCHLECHTRIEM, Peter. Commentary on the UN Convention on the International Sale of Goods (CISG). New York: Oxford University Press Inc., 2010, p. 40.
39. SCHWENZER, Ingeborg; SCHLECHTRIEM, Peter. Commentary on the UN Convention on the International Sale of Goods (CISG). New York: Oxford University Press Inc., 2010, p. 23.
40. SCHROETER, Ulrich G., Mandatory Private Treaty Application? On the Alleged Duty of Arbitrators to Apply International Conventions. in: Patricia Shaughnessy & Sherlin Tung (eds.). The Powers and Duties of an Arbitrator: Liber Amicorum Pierre A. Karrer. Alphen aan den Rijn: Kluwer Law International, 2017. p. 301.
41. See ICC Arbitration. Chemical fertilizer case. ICC Case n. 8128. 1987. Disponível em: <http://cisgw3.law.pace.edu/cases/958128i1.html>. Acesso em: 27 mar. 2020; ICC Arbitration. Chemical fertilizer case. ICC Case n. 8128. 1987. Disponível em: <http://cisgw3.law.pace.edu/cases/958128i1.html>. Acesso em: 27 mar. 2020; ICC Arbitration. Failure to open letter of credit and penalty clause case. ICC
42. ICC Arbitration. Magnesium case. Case No. 8324. 1995. Disponível em: <http://cisgw3.law.pace.edu/cases/958324i1.html>. Acesso em: 27 mar. 2020; ICC Arbitration. Textile product machines case. ICC Case No. 10377. 2002. Disponível em: <http://cisgw3.law.pace.edu/cases/020377i1.html>. Acesso em: 27 mar. 2020; ICC Arbitration. Steel bars case. ICC Case No. 6653. 26 mar. 1993. Disponível em: <http://cisgw3.law.pace.edu/cases/936653i1.html>. Acesso em: 27 mar. 2020.
43. RUSSIA. Tribunal of International Commercial Arbitration at the Russian Federation Chamber of Commerce and Industry. Arbitration proceeding 95/2004. 27 may 2005. Disponível em: <http://cisgw3.law.pace.edu/cases/050527r1.html>. Acesso em: 27 mar. 2020; ICC Arbitration. ICC Case 9187. 1999. Disponível em: <http://www.cisg-online.ch/content/api/cisg/urteile/705.htm>. Acesso em: 27 mar. 2020; ICC Arbitration. Battery machinery case. ICC Case No. 7660. 23 aug. 1994. Disponível em: <https://cisgw3.law.pace.edu/cases/947660i1.html>. Acesso em: 27 mar. 2020.
44. ICC Arbitration. Machine case. ICC Case No. 11333. 2002. Disponível em: <http://cisgw3.law.pace.edu/cases/021333i1.html>. Acesso em: 27 mar. 2020; ITALY. Milan Chamber of Arbitration Case No. 5706. 22 feb. 2008. Disponível em: <http://cisgw3.law.pace.edu/cases/080222i3.html>. Acesso em: 27 mar. 2020; Camera Arbitrale Milano (CAM) Arbitration. CAM 13209. 01 Dec. 2010. Disponível em: <http://www.cisg-online.ch/content/api/cisg/display.cfm?test=2573>. Acesso em: 27 mar. 2020;
45. DERAIS, Yves. The ICC Arbitral Process. Part. VIII. Choice of the Law Applicable to the Contract and International Arbitration. ICC International Court of Arbitration Bulletin, v. 6, n. 1, §2, 1995.
46. MOSS, Giuditta Cordero. Can an arbitral tribunal disregard the choice of law made by the parties. Stockholm international arbitration review, p. 04, 2005.
47. INTERNATIONAL Law Association International Commercial Arbitration Committee's Report and Recommendations on 'Ascertaining the Contents of the Applicable Law in International Commercial Arbitration'. Arbitration International, Volume 26, Issue 2, p. 16, 2010.
48. MOSS, Giuditta Cordero. Can an arbitral tribunal disregard the choice of law made by the parties. Stockholm international arbitration review, p. 16, 2005.
49. INTERNATIONAL Law Association International Commercial Arbitration Committee's Report and Recommendations on 'Ascertaining the Contents of the Applicable Law in International Commercial Arbitration'. Arbitration International, Volume 26, Issue 2, p. 19, 2010.
50. MOSES, Margaret, L. The principles and practice of international commercial arbitration. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2017. p. 67.

51. MOSES, Margaret. The Principles and Practice of International Commercial Arbitration. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2017. p. 67.
52. MOSS, Giuditta Cordero. Can an arbitral tribunal disregard the choice of law made by the parties. Stockholm international arbitration review, p. 01, 2005.
53. MOSS, Giuditta Cordero. Can an arbitral tribunal disregard the choice of law made by the parties. Stockholm international arbitration review, p. 04, 2005.
54. MOSS, Giuditta Cordero. Can an arbitral tribunal disregard the choice of law made by the parties. Stockholm international arbitration review, p. 06, 2005.
55. MOSS, Giuditta Cordero. Can an arbitral tribunal disregard the choice of law made by the parties. Stockholm international arbitration review, p. 06, 2005.
56. MOSS, Giuditta Cordero. Can an arbitral tribunal disregard the choice of law made by the parties. Stockholm international arbitration review, p. 07, 2005.
57. MOSS, Giuditta Cordero. Can an arbitral tribunal disregard the choice of law made by the parties. Stockholm international arbitration review, p. 07, 2005.
58. MOSS, Giuditta Cordero. Can an arbitral tribunal disregard the choice of law made by the parties. Stockholm international arbitration review, p.15, 2005.